Name:

Section: (day/time)

# AMS5 - MIDTERM Tuesday October 27th, 2009

A Normal Table is on the last page of this exam.

You must explain all answers and/or show working for full credit.

You are reminded of the University's policy on Academic Misconduct. Remember, if you are aware of a student committing academic misconduct, you are expected to bring it to the attention of the instructor.

1. My son's favorite board game is called "Going on a Bear Hunt" and is played with a spinner. The three sections of the spinner are colored yellow, red and blue. The yellow section is marked with the number '1', the red section with the number '2', and the blue section with the number '3'. When spun, the pointer has equal chance of landing on each of the three sections.

The first three spots on the board, not including the starting spot, are red, yellow and blue (in that order). (After these three come many more spots of all the colors.) If you arrive on the blue spot, you take a card which determines what you do next.

- (a) When using the spinner, are color and number independent?
- (b) What is the chance of arriving at the first blue spot in *exactly* two spins?
- (c) If I'm playing the game with my two kids, what's the chance that all three of us will miss landing on the first blue spot?

- 2. A family has four children. Assuming that each child is a boy or a girl with equal chance, which of the following two breakdowns are more likely?
  - (a) 2 boys and 2 girls
  - (b) 3 of one sex, and one of the other

3. At the end of this exam is the first page of a letter from UCSC Chancellor George R. Blumenthal to UC President Mark Yudof. This letter was part of a discussion about reducing the UC's budget shortfall by reducing expenditure on staff and faculty.

Read the section under the heading "Summary of Employee Comments".

- (a) How many comments were received overall?
- (b) How many respondents chose Option II? Explain your answer.

- 4. Draws are being made at random with replacement from a box. The number of draws is getting larger and larger. Say whether each of the following statements is true or false, and explain. ("Converges" means "gets closer and closer".)
  - (a) The probability histogram for the sum of the draws (when put in standard units) converges to the normal curve.

(b) The histogram for the numbers in the box (when put in standard units) converges to the normal curve.

(c) The histogram for the numbers drawn (when put in standard units) converges to the normal curve.

(d) The probability histogram for the product of draws (when put in standard units) converges to the normal curve.

(e) The histogram for the numbers drawn converges to the histogram for the numbers in the box.

- 5. You might sell insurance to a 21 year old friend. The probability that a man aged 21 will die in the next year is about 0.0015. You decide to charge \$200 for a policy that will pay \$100,000 if your friend dies.
  - (a) What is your expected profit?
  - (b) Although you expect to make a profit, you would be foolish to sell your friend this policy. Why?
  - (c) An insurance company sells 100,000 such policies. They expect to make \$\_\_\_\_\_\_plus or minus \$\_\_\_\_\_\_

(d) What is the chance that the insurance company makes less than \$3 million? Greater than \$6 million?

(e) The CEO's bonus is based on the company making more than \$6 million each year for a trailing 3-year period. What's the chance of the CEO not getting a bonus if the company sells 100,000 policies each year?

- 6. Read the news report "Daily Sweets 'linked to violence' " printed at the end of this exam paper.
  - (a) Was this a controlled experiment or an observational study? Explain briefly.

(b) List three confounding factors that were considered.

(c) The article states that the link between confectionery consumption and aggression "remained, even after for controlling for other factors such as parenting behavior....". What does "controlling for" mean in this context?

(d) The report says that the researchers looked at data on 17,500 people, and that 69% of the participants who were violent at the age of 34 had eaten sweets and chocolate nearly every day during childhood, compared to 42% who were non-violent. How many people in the study were classed as violent?

(e) Does eating sweets daily as a child cause delinquency in adults? Explain briefly.



Search

Explore the BBC

### Watch ONE-MINUTE WORLD NEWS

#### **News Front Page**

Africa Americas

Asia-Pacific Europe Middle East South Asia UK

**Business** 

Health

Medical notes **Science & Environment** 

Technology

Entertainment

Also in the news

#### Video and Audio

ADVERTISEMENT TODAY'S HOT DEALS FROM TRAVELZOO Monday, 10/19/09

\$59 -- Select Disney Value Resorts On Sale (Reg. \$82) Walt Disney World Resorts

\$199 & up -- 4-night Caribbean Cruise This Fal Royal Caribbean

#### **Programmes**

Have Your Say

In Pictures

**Country Profiles** 

Special Reports

Related BBC sites Sport Weather On This Day Editors' Blog **BBC World Service**  Page last updated at 23:16 GMT, Wednesday, 30 September 2009 00:16 UK

Printable version

# 🖂 E-mail this to a friend Daily sweets 'linked to violence'

Children who eat sweets and chocolate every day are more likely to be violent as adults, according to UK researchers.

The Cardiff University study involving 17,500 people is the first into effects of childhood diet on adult violence.

It found 10-year-olds who ate sweets daily were significantly more likely to have a violence conviction by age 34.

Researchers suggested they had not learnt to delay gratification, but other experts said already "difficult" children might be given more sweets.

The researchers looked at data on around 17,500 people and found that 69% of the participants who

were violent at the age of 34 had eaten sweets and chocolate nearly

#### Delinguents

This link between confectionery consumption and later aggression remained even after controlling for other factors such as parenting behaviour, the area where the child lived, not having educational qualifications after the age of 16 and whether they had access to a car when they were 34.

The researchers put forward several explanations for the link including the idea that the confectionery makes the adult addicted to certain additives and that these may contribute towards adult aggression.

The study was reported in the British Journal of Psychiatry.

#### **Stunted learning**

Dr Simon Moore, who led the study, has carried out previous research on young offenders.

He was aware that they tend to have very poor diets including lots of confectionery - but was intrigued to find the link.

He said: "Our favoured explanation is that giving children sweets and chocolate regularly may stop them learning how to wait to obtain something they want.

"Not being able to defer gratification may push them

66 This is either utter nonsense or a very bad April Fool's Day joke 99

Julian Hunt Food and Drink Federation

towards more impulsive behaviour, which is strongly associated with delinquency.

"Targeting resources at improving children's diet may improve health and reduce aggression."

Professor Alan Maryon-Davis, president of the UK Faculty of Public Health, said: "Another explanation is that children who are already



Children who cannot wait for something they want may become aggressive

Could childhood sweet eating make vou violent?

Targeting resources at

improving children's diet may

Dr Simon Moore, Cardiff University

improve health and reduce

aggression

12 Jul 08 | UK At a glance: Anti-violence measures every day during childhood, compared to 42% who were non-violent. 18 Feb 08 | UK Politics

99

RELATED INTERNET LINKS Food and Drink Federation British Journal of Psychiatry

21 Feb 08 | UK

SEE ALSO

Cardiff University

07 Feb 08 | UK Politics

Violent crime strategy criticised

Knife policy 'must start young'

Under-18s may face new drink ban

The BBC is not responsible for the content of external internet sites

#### TOP HEALTH STORIES

Egg screening 'ups IVF success' 'Ethical' stem cell crop boosted Overweight 'should be protected'

News feeds

#### MOST POPULAR STORIES NOW



Most popular now, in detail

ADVERTISEMENT

# UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA CRUZ

BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO

#### OFFICE OF THE CHANCELLOR



SANTA BARBARA • SANTA CRUZ

200 Clark Kerr Hall 1156 High Street Santa Cruz, CA 95064-1078 Phone (831) 459-2058 FAX (831) 459-2098

July 1, 2009

President Mark G. Yudof Office of the President University of California 1111 Franklin Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor Oakland, CA 94607-5200

Via e-mail: president@ucop.edu

Dear Mark:

# Re: Comments on Proposed Furlough/Salary Reduction Plan Options

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed Furlough/Salary Reduction Plan Options. The Santa Cruz campus solicited comments from Senate faculty, non-represented academic and staff employees, and managers and supervisors, and received over 650 comments in the brief turnaround time provided. While we received a wide variety of comments and suggestions, this letter articulates the most prevalent and key points expressed by the campus community. In addition, I have enclosed the comments received for your review and consideration.

As you will see in the following summary of comments, there were five specific areas in which both academic and staff employees articulated common viewpoints:

- 1) Option II was the preferred selection by an overwhelming margin;
- 2) Retirement, service credit, and leave accruals should not be negatively impacted;
- 3) Salary reductions should be graduated or progressive;
- 4) Specific sunset clause needed for whatever plan is chosen; and
- 5) Extramurally funded employees should not be included in any plan.

# **Summary of Employee Comments**

We received over 300 comments from the academic members of the Santa Cruz campus, including comments from the Chair of the Santa Cruz Division of the Academic Senate and the Senate Committee on Planning and Budget. In addition, some 350 comments were received from our managers, supervisors and non-represented staff employees.

Of those who responded with a specific option selection, 84% of academic respondents and 88% of staff respondents chose Option II: 21 Unpaid Days Plan. The main reason conveyed by academics for this

# Tables



21

# A NORMAL TABLE

z	Height	Area	z	Height	Area		2	Height	Area
0.00	39.89	0	1.50	12.95	86.64	3.	00	0.443	99.730
0.05	39.84	3.99	1.55	12.00	87.89	3.	05	0.381	99.771
0.10	39.69	7.97	1.60	11.09	89.04	3.	10	0.327	99.806
0.15	39.45	11.92	1.65	10.23	90.11	3.	15	0.279	99.837
0.20	39.10	15.85	1 <b>.70</b>	9.40	91.09	3.:	20	0.238	99.863
0.25	38.67	19.74	1.75	8.63	91.99	3.:	25	0.203	99.885
0.30	38.14	23.58	1.80	7.90	<b>92.8</b> 1	3.	30	0.172	99.903
0.35	37.52	27.37	1.85	7.21	93.57	3.	35	0.146	99.919
0.40	36.83	31.08	1.90	6.56	94.26	3.	40	0.123	99.933
0.45	36.05	34.73	1.95	5.96	94.88	3.	45	0.104	99.944
0.50	35.21	38.29	2.00	5.40	95.45	3.	50	0.087	99.953
0.55	34.29	41.77	2.05	4.88	95.96	3.	55	0.073	99.961
0.60	33.32	45.15	2.10	4.40	96.43	3.	60	0.061	99.968
0.65	32.30	48.43	2.15	3.96	96.84	3.	65	0.051	99.974
0.70	31.23	51.61	2.20	3.55	97.22	3.	70	0.042	99.978
0.75	30.11	54.67	2.25	3.17	97.56	3.	75	0.035	99.982
0.80	28.97	57.63	2.30	2.83	97.86	3.	80	0.029	99.986
0.85	27.80	60.47	2.35	2.52	98.12	3.	85	0.024	99.988
0.90	26.61	63.19	2.40	2.24	98.36	3.	90	0.020	99.990
0.95	25.41	65.79	2.45	1.98	98.57	3.	95	0.016	99.992
1.00	24.20	68.27	2.50	1.75	98.76	4.	00	0.013	99.9937
1.05	22.99	70.63	2.55	1.54	98.92	4.	05	0.011	99.9949
1.10	21.79	72.87	2.60	1.36	99.07	4.	10	0.009	99.9959
1.15	20.59	74.99	2.65	1.19	99.20	4.	15	0.007	99.9967
1.20	19.42	76.99	2.70	1.04	<b>99.3</b> 1	4.	20	0.006	99.9973
1.25	18.26	78.87	2.75	0.91	99.40	4.	25	0.005	99.9979
1.30	17.14	80.64	2.80	0.79	-99.49	4.	.30	0.004	99.9983
1.35	16.04	82.30	2.85	9.69	99.56	4.	35	0.003	99.9986
1.40	14.97	83.85	2.90	0.60	99.63	4.	40	0.002	99.9989
1.45	13.94	85.29	2.95	0.51	99.68	4.	.45	0.002	99.9991